

## REMARKS

Reexamination and reconsideration of the rejections are hereby requested.

Claims 1-102 are pending. Of these, claims 23-32, 35-37, and 55-102 have been withdrawn from consideration. Pending claims 1-22, 33, 34, and 38-54 stand rejected. In particular, claims 1-22, 33, 34, and 38-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Singhose et al., U.S. Patent No. 5638267.

In response to the rejections, claim 1 has been amended herein to include the limitations of claims 2 and 21 and claims 2 and 21 have been canceled.

Originally filed claim 21 depended from claim 2. Claim 1 as amended herein now includes the limitations of claims 2 and 21. While claim 21 has been rejected as anticipated by Singhose based on inherency, the examiner is reminded that in the office action in this case mailed July 1, 2004 the examiner indicated that claim 21 is directed to allowable subject matter. The examiner stated "Regarding claim 21: the prior art of record neither discloses nor suggests the quasi-static correction factor with the feedback loop in a disk drive." It is noted that the prior art of record referred to includes the Singhose reference. Therefore, the examiner originally indicated that claim 21 is directed to allowable subject matter because of Singhose's failure to disclose nor suggest the quasi-static correction factor.

Independent apparatus claim 33 has also been amended similar to that of claim 1.

In view of the examiner's indication of allowable subject matter, it is submitted that the claims at issue are in condition for allowance and early favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sam Pasternack/  
Sam Pasternack  
Registration No. 29,576

Date: December 21, 2007

Patent Department  
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART  
Exchange Place  
53 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109-2804  
Tel: (617) 248-5000  
Fax: (617) 248-4000